



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
 Patent and Trademark Office
 Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
 Washington, D.C. 20231

08/046,335

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
---------------	-------------	-----------------------	---------------------

08/046,335 04/12/93 BOWKER

K 5236-1010

TUNG, B EXAMINER

26M2/1115

M. JOHN CARSON
 ROBBINS, BERLINER & CARSON
 201 NORTH FIGUEROA STREET
 FIFTH FLOOR
 LOS ANGELES, CA 90012-2628

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2615

5

DATE MAILED:

11/15/94

EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Bryan S. Tung

(3)

(2) Peter J. Lippman (22,855)

(4)

Date of interview 8-3-94Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☐ No. If yes, brief description: _____Agreement ☐ was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. ☐ was not reached. N/AClaims discussed: N/AIdentification of prior art discussed: N/A

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The examiner agreed to contact Mr. Lippman before mailing a response to an amendment.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

☒ 1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph below has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

☐ 2. Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the substance of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

PTOL-413 (REV. 2-83)

APPLICANT'S COPY

Bryan S. Tung
 Examiner's Signature



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

08/046,335

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/046,335	04/12/93	BOWKER	K 5236-1010

26M2/1115

TUNG, B EXAMINER

M. JOHN CARSON
ROBBINS, BERLINER & CARSON
201 NORTH FIGUEROA STREET
FIFTH FLOOR
LOS ANGELES, CA 90012-2628

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2615

4

DATE MAILED:

11/15/94

EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Peter Lippman (22,835)

(3)

(2) Bryan Tung

(4)

Date of interview 7-27-94

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:Agreement ☐ was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. ☐ was not reached. N/A

Claims discussed: 35-10

Identification of prior art discussed: Alfano et al., Knight et al., Takach et al.

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative discussed the proposed amendment sent by facsimile. The Examiner will consider the new claims proposed.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

☒ 1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph below has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

☐ 2. Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the substance of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

PTOL-413 (REV. 2-93)

APPLICANT'S COPY

Examiner's Signature

Bryan Tung



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

08/046,335

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
---------------	-------------	-----------------------	---------------------

08/046,335 04/12/93 BOWKER

K 5236-101C

26M2/1115

TUNG, B EXAMINER

M. JOHN CARSON
ROBBINS, BERLINER & CARSON
201 NORTH FIGUEROA STREET
FIFTH FLOOR
LOS ANGELES, CA 90012-2628

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2615

7

DATE MAILED:

11/15/94

EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Peter Lippman (22,835)

(3)

(2) Bryan Tung

(4)

Date of interview 11-2-94Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description: _____Agreement ☐ was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. ☒ was not reached.Claims discussed: N/AIdentification of prior art discussed: Kato et al, Takata et al

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Examiner agreed that the rejections applied in Paper No 3 were overcome by Applicant's response. The Examiner and Applicant's attorney discussed a proposed rejection using Kato et al and Takata et al. The Examiner agreed to issue a non-final office action containing the proposed rejection.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

☒ 1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph below has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

☐ 2. Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the substance of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Bryan Tung
Examiner's Signature

PTOL-413 (REV. 2-93)

APPLICANT'S COPY